



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/293,293	04/16/1999	ROXANA HAMEDANI	1400.9801260	4686
75	590 05/22/2002			
MARKISON AND RECKAMP PC			EXAMINER	
ATTN: PAUL 1	M. ANDERSON SIN ROAD		DUONG,	DUC T
SUITE 107 AUSTIN, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11001111, 111			2663	
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/293,293	HAMEDANI ET AL.	LET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Duc T. Duong	2663			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	<u> </u>	with the correspondence address			
Period fo	• •					
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLINATION DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Persions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. PRIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Per period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repular priod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	April 1999 .				
2a) <u></u>		his action is non-final.				
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under					
• _	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application					
e 10	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
· · · ·	Claim(s) 14-21 is/are allowed.					
-	Claim(s) <u>1,6-8,10 and 13</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>2-5,9,11 and 12</u> is/are objected to.	·				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
· · _	The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approved b)[disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
14) 🔲 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	n).		
	a) The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •				
Attachmer	-	•				
2) 🛛 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/293,293

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1, 6-8, 10, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Graham et al (U.S. Patent 6,097,722).

Regarding to claims 1 and 8, Graham discloses an apparatus (Fig. 1A) for connection admission control comprising a processor 140, a memory 145 operably coupled to the processor, wherein the memory stores a connection admission control algorithm, wherein when executed by the processor, the connection admission control algorithm causes the processor to determine: in response to a request for a virtual path aggregation, if there is a trunk group (Fig. 5A, 5B, 5C, and 6, 'path group'), within a source switch 130x (Fig. 1A), having a virtual path identifier that matches the virtual

Art Unit: 2663

path identifier of the virtual path aggregation, wherein the request indicates a desired data path between the source switch and a destination switch, wherein the request includes a traffic descriptor and a virtual path identifier for the virtual path aggregation (Fig. 8 col. 7 lines 29-35); reject the virtual path aggregation request when: there is a trunk group within the switch with the same virtual path identifier as the virtual path aggregation and bandwidth characteristics of the virtual path aggregation that are included in the traffic descriptor compare unfavorably (overload) with bandwidth limitations of the trunk group (Fig. 8 col. 7 lines 65-67 and col. 8 lines 1-14); and create the virtual path aggregation such that the virtual path aggregation corresponds to the trunk group when there is a trunk group within the switch with the same virtual path identifier as the virtual path aggregation and the bandwidth characteristics of the virtual path aggregation compare favorably with bandwidth limitations of the trunk group (Fig. 8, after determine the VP group and VP, and if the bandwidth available the connection is set up).

Regarding to claims 6, 7, and 13, Graham discloses for the connection admission algorithm creating the virtual path aggregation such that the virtual path aggregation corresponds to the trunk group further comprises creating the virtual path aggregation such that the virtual path aggregation supports virtual channel connections (Fig. 7A and 7B col. 12 lines 49-67).

Regarding to claim 10, Graham discloses the connection admission control algorithm further comprises operating instructions that cause the processor to create the

Application/Control Number: 09/293,293

Art Unit: 2663

virtual path aggregation corresponding to the trunk group such that the virtual path aggregation supports switched connections (col. 11 lines 45-47).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 2-5, 9, 11, and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 14-21 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: In U.S. Patent 5,467,348, Fujii et al discloses s bandwidth allocation system, wherein a processor selectively specifies a virtual path of a call request based on the media characteristics (service category) of the communication information, thereby determining the presence/absence of the selected virtual path (Fig. 4 col. 3 lines 57-66). However, the prior art of record fails to teach or make obvious the step of "determining if the connection is a virtual channel connection type" and "when the connection is a virtual channel connection type determining if the service category for the connection is supported by the virtual path aggregation", especially when the setting is considered within the specific combinations of steps defined in independent claims 14 and 18. Claims 15-17 and 19-21 are allowable because they depend on claims 14 and 18, and recite further limitations.

Application/Control Number: 09/293,293

Art Unit: 2663

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc T. Duong whose telephone number is 703-605-5146. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8:30 AM-5:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-5340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9600.

DD May 14, 2002 Steven Yayar AU 2665 5/1-2/02

Page 5